

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RONALD EUGENE JAMES,
Petitioner,

v.

SHERIFF, SACRAMENTO COUNTY,
Respondent.

No. 2:24-cv-00520-DJC-EFB (HC)

ORDER

Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed an application for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On November 12, 2024, the Magistrate Judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on Petitioner and which contained notice to Petitioner that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Despite an extension of time, Petitioner has not filed objections to the findings and recommendations.

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this Court has conducted a *de novo* review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the proper analysis.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The findings and recommendations (ECF No. 8) are adopted in full;

2. The petition is dismissed for the reasons stated in the findings and recommendations;

3. The Court declines to issue the certificate of appealability referenced in 28 U.S.C. § 2253 as Petitioner has not made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right, see 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2); and

4. The Clerk of Court is directed to terminate all pending motions and close the case.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: **March 24, 2025**


Hon. Daniel J. Calabretta
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE